



Application No. 09/818,882
Attorney Docket No.: 02-052

PATENT

#6/Amendt. R
Lmurga-
7/3/03

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants:

Harry A. Reimer

Application No.: 09/818,882

Filed: March 27, 2001

For: SYSTEMS AND METHODS
WHEREIN A RECONFIGURATION
RULE IS NOT INDICATED
DURING AT LEAST A PORTION
OF GAME PLAY

CUSTOMER NO. 22927

) Group Art Unit: 3629

) Examiner: Christina M. Marks
(703) 305-7497
(703) 872-9302/fax

) **AMENDMENT & RESPONSE**
) to the Non-final Office Action Mailed
) December 11, 2002 (Paper No. 3)

) Attorney Docket No. 02-052
) (Please note new docket number)

) Walker Digital, LLC
) Five High Ridge Park
) Stamford, CT 06905-1370
) 203-461-7054/ phone
) 203-461-7300/ fax

RECEIVED

JUN 30 2003

Certificate of Mailing

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231, on June 11, 2003.

Dated: 6/11/2003 By:

Steven M. Santisi

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

In response to the Non-Final Office Action mailed December 11, 2002, (Paper No. 3), entry of the following amendments and consideration of the following remarks in the above-identified application are respectfully requested.

A M E N D M E N T

IN THE SPECIFICATION:

Please replace the fourth paragraph on page 2 with the following paragraph:

a1 In addition to being a concern to other players, automated game playing devices can pose problems for game providers. Consider, for example, a game provider that promises to pay one thousand dollars to every player who successfully scores 1,000 points in a computer-based puzzle game. In this case, an automated game playing device may result in the game provider paying out an unfairly large number of prizes.